**Theme 1: Arguments for the existence of God – inductive, AS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Inductive arguments for God’s existence are persuasive.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The Kalam cosmological argument is convincing.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The cosmological/teleological argument for God’s existence is effective.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |
| ‘Cosmological/teleological arguments are persuasive in the 21st Century.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Challenges to the cosmological/teleological argument are effective’. | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Scientific explanations are more persuasive than philosophical explanations for the universe’s existence.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

**Theme 1: Arguments for the existence of God – deductive, AS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘A priori arguments for God’s existence are persuasive.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Different religious views on the nature of God impact on arguments for God.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Ontological argument for God’s existence are effective.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |
| ‘The ontological argument is more persuasive than the cosmological/teleological arguments for God’s existence.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The challenges to the ontological argument for God’s existence are effective.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Objections to the ontological argument are persuasive.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

**Theme 2: Challenges to religious belief - the problem of evil and suffering, AS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The classical form of the problem of evil is a problem.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Modern problem of evil arguments are effective in proving God's nonexistence.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Augustinian type theodicies are relevant in the 21st Century.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

Ethics discussion questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Augustine’s theodicy succeeds as a defence of the God of Classical Theism.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Irenaean type theodicies are credible in the 21st Century.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Irenaeus’s theodicy succeeds as a defence of the God of Classical Theism.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

**Theme 3: Religious Experience, AS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The impact of religious experience on belief and practice is significant.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Different types of religious experience can be accepted as equally valid in communicating religious teachings and beliefs.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘James’ four characteristics in defining mystical experience are adequate.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

Ethics discussion questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Otto’s definition of ‘numinous’ is adequate.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘The challenges to religious experience are valid.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ‘Franks-Davis’s different challenges are persuasive.’ | |
| FOR | AGAINST |
|  |  |